Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/682,396	JUNGHUBER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HEE SOO KIM	2457	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *HEE SOO KIM*. (3)_____.

(2) *Gerald H. Glanzman*. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *01 December 2008*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *17 and 18*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *N/A*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Examiner proposed allowable subject matter to Dep. claim 18 and if moved to Ind. Claim 17 would place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Glanzman agreed to the proposal and an Examiner's Amendment to be made*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.